



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,987 05/03/2001		Mary A. Holstege	21113-05572	8754	
22830 7:	590 10/28/2005		EXAM	KAMINER	
CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 GENG ROAD			NAWAZ, ASAD M		
PALO ALTO,	··	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2155		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/848,98	37	HOLSTEGE, MARY A.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Asad M. N		2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- eply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and wi tute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	August 2005						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/24/05</u> is/are: a)⊠		b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•		•		·			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D		D 452)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination received 8/19/05. Claims 1-30 were amended. Claims 31-34 are newly added. No claims have been canceled. Accordingly, claims 1-34 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 8-17, 19, and 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maslov (US Patent No 6,583,673).

As to claim 1, Maslov teaches a method for monitoring multiple online resources in different formats, the method comprising the steps: identifying an online resource to monitor, the online resource being stored in a first format, the online resource in the first format including data in a non-strict architectural structure; (Abstract; figs 2-4; col 3, lines 25-40; col 4, lines 40-65)

converting the online resource to a strict formatted file, wherein data in the first format of the online resource is converted into a strict architectural structure in the strict formatted file; (Abstract; figs 2-4; col 3, lines 25-40 (XSLT can be used to convert HTML to XML and vice versa); col 4, lines 40-65)

Application/Control Number: 09/848,987

Art Unit: 2155

identifying relevant data based on the strict architectural structure of the data in the strict formatted file using an analytic parser, and determining whether the identified relevant data has been altered. (figs 2-4; col 3, lines 25-40; col 4, lines 40-65; col 6, lines 5-13).

Claims 15 and 30 are rejected for essentially being the system for the method taught in claim 1.

Claim 29 is rejected for essentially being a method as taught in claim 1 except that data has been remotely updated, a limitation that is taught by Maslov. (fig 6; col 11, lines 4-39)

As to claims 2 and 16, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 and the system of claim 15 wherein the online resource is a HyperText Markup Language application. (col 12, lines 35-41)

As to claim 3, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 and that the online resource is a non-HyperText Markup Language application. (col 4, lines 47-52)

Claim 17 is rejected on similar grounds as claim 3 above.

As to claim 5, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 and that an Extensible Style Sheet Transform is used to convert the online resource to the strict formatted file.(col 3, lines 25-39)

Claim 19 is rejected on similar grounds as claim 5 above.

As to claim 8, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 and that the strict formatted file is a document object model of the online resource. (col 2, lines 46-50)

Claim 22 is rejected on similar grounds as claim 8 above.

As to claims 9 and 23, Maslov teaches the method and system of claims 1 and 15 and that the analytic parser being a script (col 6, lines 5-13)

As to claims 10 and 24, Maslov teaches the method of claim 9 and the system of claim 23 teaches the script identifying relevant data via markers within the strict formatted file. (col 4, lines 41-53)

As to claims 11 and 25, Maslov teaches a method of claim 1 and the system of claim 15 wherein an altered file is determined by comparing the identified relevant data to a most recent archived copy of the identified relevant data. (fig 1; col 4, lines 41-53)

As to claims 12 and 25, Maslov teaches a method of claim 11 and a system of claim 15 further comprising the step of storing the identified relevant data within a database. (fig 1; col 4, lines 41-53)

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 14 and 28, Maslov teaches a method of claim 1 and the system of claim 15 further comprising the step of automatically updating a database. (col 4, lines 40-65)

As to claims 13 and 27, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 and the system of claim 15 and automatically notifying a user when the identified relevant data has changed (abstract; col 4, lines 53-65).

As to claims 31-34, Maslov teaches identifying relevant data in the strict formatted file comprises identifying data flags or identifiers in the strict architectural structure to identify the relevant data. (col. 4, lines 40-65)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 6, 7, 18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maslov (US Patent No 5,946,697) and further in view of Helgeson et al (US Patent No 6643652).

Application/Control Number: 09/848,987

Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 4, Maslov teaches the method of claim 3 further comprising the step of converting the online resource wherein converting the online resource to the strict formatted file comprises converting the HTML application to the strict formatted file.

However, Maslov does not explicitly indicate converting from the non-hypertext markup language application to a hyper text markup language application to a HyperText Markup Language application.

Helgeson teaches converting from the non-hypertext markup language application to a hyper text markup language application to a HyperText Markup Language application. More specifically, Helgeson teaches transformations from XML to html, pdf, xml, wml, xhtml, etc and vice versa through the use of XSL/XSLT (col 49, lines 55-64; col 50, lines 43-67).

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Helgeson into those of Maslov to make the system more flexible. Flexibility of a system can be achieved through the integration of disparate business applications enabling modular interconnection of systems containing data import, export and event monitoring and reporting facilities which are protocol independent. (Helgeson Col 2, lines 35-50) Furthermore, languages like xml and html are more formally referred to a standard generalized markup languages and conform to a particular document type definition where most elements have start tags followed by some content and an end tag.

Claim 18 is rejected on similar grounds as claim 4 above.

As to claim 6, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 but does not explicitly indicate the strict formatted file is an Extensible Markup Language application.

Helgeson teaches a method to manage data exchange among systems in a network by translating data from a system specific local format to a generic interchange format and vice versa. More specifically, Helgeson teaches transformations from XML to html, pdf, xml, wml, xhtml, etc and vice versa through the use of XSL/XSLT (col 49, lines 55-64; col 50, lines 43-67).

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Helgeson into those of Maslov to make the system more flexible. Flexibility of a system can be achieved through the integration of disparate business applications enabling modular interconnection of systems containing data import, export and event monitoring and reporting facilities which are protocol independent. (Helgeson Col 2, lines 35-50) Furthermore, languages like xml and html are more formally referred to a standard generalized markup languages and conform to a particular document type definition where most elements have start tags followed by some content and an end tag.

Claim 20 is rejected on similar grounds as claim 6 above.

As to claim 7, Maslov teaches the method of claim 1 but does not explicitly indicate the strict formatted file is an Extensible HypterText Markup Language application.

Helgeson teaches a method to manage data exchange among systems in a network by translating data from a system specific local format to a generic interchange format and vice versa. More specifically, Helgeson teaches transformations from XML to html, pdf, xml, wml, xhtml, etc and vice versa through the use of XSL/XSLT (col 49, lines 55-64; col 50, lines 43-67).

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Helgeson into those of Maslov to make the system more flexible. Flexibility of a system can be achieved through the integration of disparate business applications enabling modular interconnection of systems containing data import, export and event monitoring and reporting facilities which are protocol independent. (Helgeson Col 2, lines 35-50) Furthermore, languages like xhtml and html are more formally referred to a standard generalized markup languages and conform to a particular document type definition where most elements have start tags followed by some content and an end tag.

Claim 21 is rejected on similar grounds as claim 7 above.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,987

Art Unit: 2155

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMN

SALEH NAJJAR
OUDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER